

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/629,422		07/31/2000	Lawrence G. Anderson	1269P14	4427
24959	7590	12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
	USTRIES CTUAL PR	INC OPERTY DEPT	RESAN, STEVAN A		
ONE PPG PLACE				ART-UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBU	TSBURGH, PA 15272			1773	
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i		- Clos	4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	09/629,422	ANDERSON ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stevan A. Resan	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of rill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	-		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	led Office Action of form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	2. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			tono
application from the International Bureau		en received in this ivational S	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	e priority under 35 U.S.0 e specification or in an <i>i</i>	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a Application Data Sheet. 37 C	specific FR 1.78.
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	·
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	
7 — плотпалоп Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6)	•	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. In the interview conducted November 28, 2003 the examiner made a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121. Applicant's elected claims directed to a composition without traverse. To make the record clear as to the claim groupings the following is noted:
 - I. Claims 1-41, 45, 59-64, 66, 73-78, 80, 85-87, drawn to a composition, classified in class 522, subclass 18. (The elected invention)
 - II. Claims 42-44, 65, 79, drawn to a cured composition, classified in class 528, subclass 33+.
 - III. Claims 49-53, 70, 82, drawn to a coated automobile substrate, classified in class 428, subclass 411+.
 - IV. Claims 54-56, 71, 83, drawn to a multicomponent composite composition, classified in class 428, subclass 447.
 - V. Claims 46-48, 57-58, 67-69, 72, 81, 84, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 496.

Application/Control Number: 09/629,422

2. Election of Species

Art Unit: 1773

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the elected claimed invention:

A. A composition that requires at least one of the first or second materials to

comprise a polysiloxane. (Claims 1-41,45,59-61)

B. A composition that requires at least one of the first or second materials to

comprise a vinyl group. (Claims 62-64,66,73-75)

C. A composition that requires at least one material comprising both a UV curable

reactive group and a thermally curable reactive group. (Claims 76-78,80,85-87)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of

the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all

the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected

species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct.

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/629,422

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 7:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367.

The central fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

STEVAN A. RESÂN PRIMARY EXAMINER